SHRI MANOJ BHATTACHARYA: Sir, in the last session, we were assured that Abu Salem, who is in Lisbon jail will be extradited. Now, my question is: Is he original Abu Salem or not? May I know whether it could be identified that he is exactly Abu Salem whom we have been searching for? It was also assured that he will be extradited soon and the Government of India is very keen to have a dialogue with the Portugal Government. Now, what is the fate of that? Would you please enlighten us?

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: Sir, as the hon. Member is aware, we do not have an extradition treaty with Portugal. But the Portugal Government has been kind enough to readily agree that they will be handing over Abu Salem to us. As far as the identity is concerned, we have been told that he is Abu Salem. That much I can say.

दसवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना

†*42. लाला लाजपत राय: ††
श्री कपिल सिब्बल:

क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि हाल ही में दसवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना पर विचार-विमर्श के बाद अनेक राज्य सरकारों के प्रतिनिधियों ने इस योजनावधि के लिए निर्धारित लक्ष्य प्राप्त कर पाने में असमर्थता प्रकट की है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इन राज्यों के नाम क्या हैं;

(ग) लक्ष्य प्राप्त करने में असमर्थता प्रकट करने के क्या कारण हैं; और

(घ) क्या यह भी सच है कि राज्य सरकारों की असमर्थता के कारण बेरोजगारी और निर्धनता दूर करने हेतु निर्धारित लक्ष्य प्राप्त करना असंभव होगा?

योजना मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री सत्यव्रत मुखर्जी): (क) जी, नहीं। दसवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना 2002-07 में निर्धारित राज्य-वार विकास लक्ष्य राज्य सरकारों के परामर्श से तैयार किए गए हैं।

(ख) से (घ) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

---

††सभा में प्रश्न लाला लाजपत राय द्वारा पूछा गया।

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

Tenth Five Year Plan

†*42. SHRI LAJPAT RAI:††
SHRI KAPIL SIBAL:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that after the recent discussions on the Tenth Five Year Plan representatives of several State Governments have expressed their inability to achieve the targets set for plan period;

(b) if so, the names of such States;

(c) the reasons for expressing their inability to achieve the targets; and

(d) whether it is also a fact that due to the inability of the State Governments it would be impossible to achieve the targets set to remove unemployment and to eliminate poverty?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE): (a) No Sir. The State-wise growth targets specified in the Tenth Five Year Plan (2002—07) have been formulated in consultation with the State Governments.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

लाला लाजपत राय: चेयरमैन सर, मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि जो दसवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना पर बातचीत हुई और उसकी जो फॉर्मेट रिपोर्ट है, उसके हिसाब से सिर्फ तीन स्टेट्स, दिल्ली, गुजरात और कर्नाटक ने कहा कि हम 8 प्रसेंट टार्गेट एचीव करेंगे। बाकी स्टेट्स ने अपनी मुश्किलात और अपनी-अपनी समस्याएं बताईं कि हमारे लिए टार्गेट एचीव करना मुश्किल है। अगर ऐसा है तो स्पेसिफिक या जनरल उनकी क्या प्रॉब्लम्स थीं और उनके सॉल्युशन क्या बताए गए?

SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE: Sir, I would like to give a little background in regard to the Tenth Five Year Plan. This was circulated to all the States inviting their comments. Some of the States gave their comments and suggestions. These comments and suggestions were considered. The suggestions, which were accepted, were incorporated in the Tenth Five Year Plan. The suggestions with which we did not agree, were sent back to the States for reconsideration. In that process, except Tamil Nadu, other States accepted our version. We accepted their version

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
††The question was actually asked on the floor of the house by Shri Lajpat Rai.

and incroporated it in the Tenth Five Year Plan. This has been prepared in consultation with all the States. There has been no difference of opinion on that. In fact, we have taken the States into confidence.

लाला लाजपत राय: सर, उस सारी बातचीत में अनइम्प्लायमेंट और पॉवर्टी का ज़िक्र किया गया है। इनका ज़िक्र करते हुए अगर अनइम्प्लॉयमेंट दूर होता है तो पॉवर्टी अपने-आप दूर हो जाएगी वह उसका नैचुरल रिजल्ट होगा। अनइम्प्लॉयमेंट में स्किल्ड लेबर 6 परसेंट बताया गया है और अनस्किल्ड लेबर 94 परसेंट बताया गया है जो स्किल्ड और अनस्किल्ड लेबर है, उनको किस-किस सेक्टर में एकोमोडेट किया जाएगा और कितने-कितने परसेंट में किया जाएगा?

महोदय, इसी का दूसरा भाग यह है कि जो पिछली पंचवर्षीय योजना में...

श्री सभापति: एक ही क्वेश्चन पूछ लीजिए आप यहां पर।

लाला लाजपत राय: सर, इसका ''बी'' पार्ट है।

श्री सभापति: इसका बेकग्राउंड आप भी जानते हैं और वे भी जानते हैं।

लाला लाजपत राय: फिर अगला क्वेश्चन कर नहीं सकूंगा।

श्री सभापति: कर लीजिएगा।

SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE: Sir, the endeavour is to create opportunites for employment. The sectors which have been highlighted are: diversification of agriculture, farm forestry, services sector, tourism, small-scale industry, etc. These are the sectors which will be resorted to for the purpose of creating job opportunities for the people.

लाला लाजपत राय: सर, इसका अगला पार्ट यह है कि उसमें एग्रीकल्चर में 2.1 परसेंट और इंडस्ट्री में 4.5 परसेंट...

श्री सभापति: हो गया है आपका क्वेश्चन।

लाला लाजपत राय: सर, इसी का भाग है।

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, as you are aware, during the course of the Ninth Five Year Plan, this Government was in power. I just want to place before the hon. Minister the average growth rate in the three sectors in the Ninth Five Year Plan. The average growth rate in the agriculture sector was 2.1 per cent during the course of the Ninth Five Year Plan. In the industrial sector, it was 4.5 per cent and in the services sector, it was 7.8 per cent. These are the official figures. Now you have made it known to

the public that in the Tenth Five Year Plan you are going to have a growth rate of 8 per cent. How is it possible to achieve this growth rate? You have mentioned that you are looking for greater employment in the agriculture sector, services sector, small-scale sector and in tourism. The total employment in the services sector is 6.40 lakhs. What kind of growth rate are they envisaging? How are they going to achieve this target without any investment by the State in the agriculture sector? This is only an election manifesto and nothing more than that.

SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE: Sir, with due respect, I would like to say that the target has been set not on the Planning Commission's own initiative but by taking into consideration the views of all the States. They have reacted to it. They have not protested against it. We are certainly trying to achieve this target. In this process, there may be some ups and downs. But the ultimate target is 8 per cent. This is not an election manifesto. In fact, it has been prepared after putting in a lot of efforts. All the States have agreed that this should be the target, *i.e.* 8 per cent.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, he has not answered my question. I have said that during the course of the Ninth Five Year Plan when this Government was in power the average growth rate in the three sectors was this. How does he intend to achieve an eight per cent growth rate in respect of each of these sectors? Otherwise, the growth rate will not be achieved. He must answer that. Where will the investments come from to achieve a growth rate of eight per cent?

श्री सभापति: ज्यादा अच्छा होगा, आप खुद ही प्लान डाक्युमेंट देख लें।

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: सर, मैंने तो देखा है तभी तो कहा है कि मैनिफैस्टो है। He must answer that. The Minister is away. During the Tenth Plan, how are you going to improve the growth rate from 2.5 per cent, in the agricultural sector, to eight per cent.

SHRI KARTAR SINGH DUGGAL: Targets are achieved if there is people's participation in it. It was Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, who introduced the concept of planning in our country. He used to say that people's participation is the only sanction behind a plan. I would like to know what steps have been taken to take Tenth Plan to the people.

SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE: Sir, the people elect their

Governments. The Governments have been taken into confidence. This Plan has been circulated to all the States. They have given their reactions. So, we take it...(*interruptions*). If I may say so, people have to be represented by somebody. One does not go to each and everyone. So, the States have reacted to the Plan and in consultation with them, it has been prepared.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: The fact remains, Sir, that everybody agrees that eight per cent growth is desirable. But, it is equally a fact that there is a big difference between what is desirable and what is achievable. The first snag, which comes is, what will be the result of first two years to the Plan during the current Plan period, has already been determined becuase of the previous investment. There is a time lag up to one-and-a-half years to two years. So, what would be the level of growth, has already been determined by the level of investment which has taken place in the previous two years. So, I am not going to that aspect right now. But the fact remains that eight per cent growth rate means 32 per cent investment in terms of GDP. From where will these investible resources come? The presumption, which you have made, for instance the rate of domestic savings at the level of 29 to 30 per cent—and what is the actual achievement during all these years? Therefore, it is true that it has been determined by the States and D.C. has approved and all the paraphernalia had been done, but the hard core fact remains that you have already slipped in certain areas whatever remains to be done. And, in this connection, as it is reported in the newspaper, even this year, there have been serious differences of opinion beween the Planning Commission and the Finance Minister in regard to the quantum of Gross Budgetary Support. I understand Planing Commission wanted 1,76,000 crores of rupees and the Finance Ministry was able to give 1,54,000 crores of rupees. I am sorry, the figures are 1,54,000 and 1,17,000 crores of rupees. Therefore, there is a big gap. So, of course, the figure is available on 28th, I do not want that this figure should be disclosed, but I simply like to know whether the position has been reconciled or not.

SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE: Sir, with great respect, I would request the hon. Member to reconsider his question, because the question, which has been asked, is with regard to the fact whether the States have co-operated or not and I would certainly be able to answer this question.

Then, I would request to the hon. Member to wait for a few days when the Plan would be circulated to all.

*43. [The questioners (Shri Nandi Yelliah and Dr. T. Subbarami Reddy) were absent. For answer *vide* pages 49-50 *infra*].

श्री सभापति: टी॰ सुब्बारामी रेड्डी।

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Sir, he informed that he is not coming.

श्री सभापति: नहीं हैं, नहीं है तो अच्छी बात है।

* 44. [The questioners Shri Anil Sharma was absent for answer *vide* pages 50-51 *infra*].

Disinvestment of National Fertilizers Limited

*45. SHRI C.O. POULOSE: Will the Minister of DISINVESTMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that overall performance of the National Fertilizers Limited (NFL) is very impressive and its net profit after tax deduction during the last fiscal year has been registered at Rs. 40.61 crores against Rs. 27.31 crores in 2000-2001;

(b) if so, Government's response thereto alongwith the reasons for the decision to divest 51 per cent of its equity;

(c) whether Government have any plan to review the decision of disinvestment of NFL; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF DISINVESTMENT (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): (a, (d) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Disinvestment in Central Public Sector Undertakings (CPSUs) is being undertaken as per the declared policy of the Government to bring down its equity in non-strategic CPSUs to 26% or below in generality of cases. The non-strategic CPSUs are those, which are not in the areas of arms & ammunitions and allied items of defence equipment, defence aircrafts and warships, atomic energy (except in the areas related to the generation of nucl.ar power and applications of radiations and radio-